Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

97 1127 OCT 20 1997

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

No.

IN THE

Supreme Court Of The United States

OCTOBER TERM 1997

WESLEY E. HOTCHKISS JR.

Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT et. al.

Respondent.

Petitioner for a Writ of Certiorari
to the United States Supreme Court

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Wesley E. Hotchkiss, Jr.
Pro Se
120 W. Bolz Road
Phoenix, Oregon 97535
Phone/Fax: (541) 535-9155

December 31, 1997

QUESTION PRESENTED

1. I was injured on the job April 4th, 1991. My question is: Why have I had to go through all Oregon courts, Federal Court, 9th Circuit Court of Appeals and to the Supreme Court to prove that the State of Oregon and Federal government has not given me what I want to prove my case.

I.

Statement of the Case

This petition seeks review of the refusals of all the courts denying to hear my case for over six years.

II.

Importance of Issues

SAIF paid the bills for my injuries then rescinded some on some of them. I was then billed. I have asked through all the courts for my records, bills and communications from the lawyers, doctors, courts, accountants and former employer etc. connected with my injuries. I have never been told why I am unable to obtain them.

III.

Conclusion

I respectfully submit that the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit has mishandled my case. They have given a number of wrong instructions and information to me, and have not returned phone calls promised. I was told I was talking to one person and was talking to someone else and was informed I could not receive any records from them. They have all my records from the United States District Court of Oregon which does not have them because United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit has them.

This is a Selective Class Action Suit and I have never asked for any money except for the people of Oregon who have been wronged as I have.

Respectfully Submitted,

Wesley E. Hotchkiss, Jr.
Pro Se
120 W. Bolz Road
Phoenix, Oregon 97535
Phone/Fax: (541) 535-9155

December 31, 1997

In The Supreme Court Of The United States

Wesley E. Hotchkiss Jr.,

Petitioner,

v.

Supreme Court Of The United States; Janet Reno, sued as "Janice Reno", Attorney General of the United States; Attorney General for the State of Oregon; Foreman of the Federal Grand Jury; The American Bar Association; Oregon Medical Association; SAIF Corporation; Oregon Department of Workmans Compensation; Department of Finance of Oregon, sued as "Oregon Department of Insurance and Finance"; Oregon Vocational and Rehabilitation Division; Campus Drive In Cleaners Incorporated; Yergen & Meyer Corporation; John and Jane Does,

Respondent

No. 97-35039
D.C. No. CV-96-03017-MRH

Wesley E. Hotchkiss Jr., of Phoenix, Oregon, submitted Pro Se.

Order before *SCHROEDER, FERNANDEZ* and *RYMER*, Circuit Judges

Filed September 29, 1997 Petition for Rehearing is denied.

A-2

In The Supreme Court Of The United States

Wesley E. Hotchkiss Jr.,

Petitioner,

v.

Supreme Court Of The United States; Janet Reno, sued as "Janice Reno", Attorney General of the United States; Attorney General for the State of Oregon; Foreman of the Federal Grand Jury; The American Bar Association; Oregon Medical Association; SAIF Corporation; Oregon Department of Workmans Compensation; Department of Finance of Oregon, sued as "Oregon Department of Insurance and Finance"; Oregon Vocational and Rehabilitation Division; Campus Drive In Cleaners Incorporated; Yergen & Meyer Corporation; John and Jane Does,

Respondent

No. 97-35059
CT/AG#: CV-96-03017-MRH

Wesley E. Hotchkiss Jr., of Phoenix, Oregon, submitted Pro Se.

Judgement of the United States Court Of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

Filed August 28th, 1997. Picked up in District Court of Oregon in Medford on December 11th, 1997 at 11:30 AM

A-3

In The Supreme Court Of The United States

Wesley E. Hotchkiss Jr.,

Petitioner,

v.

Supreme Court Of The United States; Janet Reno, sued as "Janice Reno", Attorney General of the United States; Attorney General for the State of Oregon; Foreman of the Federal Grand Jury; The American Bar Association; Oregon Medical Association; SAIF Corporation; Oregon Department of Workmans Compensation; Department of Finance of Oregon, sued as "Oregon Department of Insurance and Finance"; Oregon Vocational and Rehabilitation Division; Campus Drive In Cleaners Incorporated; Yergen & Meyer Corporation; John and Jane Does,

Respondent

No. 97-35059
D.C. No.: CV-96-03017-MRH

Wesley E. Hotchkiss Jr., of Phoenix, Oregon, submitted Pro Se.

Order before *SCHROEDER, FERNANDEZ* and *RYMER*, Circuit Judges

Filed August 25th, 1997 Oral argument is denied. **ORIGINAL NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

A-4

In The Supreme Court Of The United States

Wesley E. Hotchkiss Jr.,

Petitioner,

v.

Supreme Court Of The United States; Janet Reno, sued as "Janice Reno", Attorney General of the United States; Attorney General for the State of Oregon; Foreman of the Federal Grand Jury; The American Bar Association; Oregon Medical Association; SAIF Corporation; Oregon Department of Workmans Compensation; Department of Finance of Oregon, sued as "Oregon Department of Insurance and Finance"; Oregon Vocational and Rehabilitation Division; Campus Drive In Cleaners Incorporated; Yergen & Meyer Corporation; John and Jane Does,

Respondent

No. 96-3017-CO

Wesley E. Hotchkiss Jr., of Phoenix, Oregon, submitted Pro Se.

Magistrate Judge *John P. Cooney* filed his Findings and Recommendation on November 13th, 1996.

United Sates District Judge *Michael R. Hogan* adopted Judge *Cooney*'s Findings and Recommendation January 6th, 1997.

December 31, 1997

Supreme Court of the United States
Office of the Clerk
Washington, DC 20543-0001

Re: Appeal of Wesley E. Hotchkiss Jr.
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT No. 97-35059

Supreme Court Judges:

During the past six years I have been through all the courts and to date have had no one go over my case.

When I started my Selective Class Action Suit in front of Magistrate Judge John P. Cooney it ended up with all the denials from fraud. After petitioning the court for a dismissal of Magistrate Judge John P. Cooney, it was denied. It was ordered on the 6th day of January 1997 that I adopted Judge John P. Cooney's Findings and Recommendations because I did not ask for any money under fraud.

All of my records, notes, bills, etc. I filed with the Foreman of the Federal Grand Jury of the State of Oregon with an Index of Contents 1 through 17. Number One of the Index was to the Foreman. When I picked them up, Number One was missing out of the 17. The Attorney General's Office of the District of Oregon told me in a letter and on the phone that they would not present the case to the Grand Jury and they would not open my box of files - #1 was taped to the top of the box with an Index on top of #1. The file box with 2-17 had been gone through and all mixed up - #1 file on top was missing.

As a citizen who seems to have no rights to being heard would appreciate your attention concerning my case.

Sincerely,



Wesley E. Hotchkiss Jr.

Wesley E. Hotchkiss, Jr.
Pro Se
120 W. Bolz Road
Phoenix, Oregon 97535
Phone/Fax: (541) 535-9155

December 31, 1997